

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

**FILED**

**MAR 05 2012**

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EAST ST. LOUIS OFFICE

**IN RE: YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE)  
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND  
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2100

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

**CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-97)**


On October 1, 2009, the Panel transferred 32 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407. *See* 655 F.Supp.2d 1343 (J.P.M.L. 2009). Since that time, 865 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Southern District of Illinois. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable David R Herndon.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Southern District of Illinois and assigned to Judge Herndon.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. §1407 to the Southern District of Illinois for the reasons stated in the order of October 1, 2009, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable David R Herndon.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:




Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

Inasmuch as no objection is  
pending at this time, the  
stay is lifted.

**Mar 02, 2012**

CLERK'S OFFICE  
UNITED STATES  
JUDICIAL PANEL ON  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

**SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
CERTIFIED TRUE COPY**

By  Deputy Clerk  
Date 3/5/12

**IN RE: YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE)  
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND  
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2100

**SCHEDULE CTO-97 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
FLORIDA SOUTHERN			
FLS	9	12-80198	Poonaisingh et al v. Bayer Corporation et al <i>12-20012-DRH-PMF</i>
NEW JERSEY			
NJ	2	11-04309	BYCROFT v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20013-DRH-PMF</i>
NJ	2	11-04325	OKOROAFOR et al v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20014-DRH-PMF</i>
NJ	2	11-04345	CROMARTIE v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20015-DRH-PMF</i>
NJ	2	11-04346	COOK et al v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20016-DRH-PMF</i>
NJ	2	11-04347	KIES v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20017-DRH-PMF</i>
NJ	2	11-04348	KOENIG et al v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20018-DRH-PMF</i>
NJ	2	11-04352	BARTLETT et al v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20019-DRH-PMF</i>
NJ	2	11-04369	ISGRO et al v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20020-DRH-PMF</i>
NJ	2	11-04906	SIMMONS v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20021-DRH-PMF</i>
NJ	2	11-05182	ROITMAN et al v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20022-DRH-PMF</i>
NJ	2	11-05183	LEE v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20023-DRH-PMF</i>
NJ	2	11-05328	INGRAM v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20024-DRH-PMF</i>
NJ	2	11-05329	BIEGEL v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20025-DRH-PMF</i>
NJ	2	11-05330	ZAHM v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20026-DRH-PMF</i>
NJ	2	11-05331	COOK v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20027-DRH-PMF</i>
NJ	2	11-05678	CARTER v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20028-DRH-PMF</i>
NJ	2	11-05680	WELCH v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20029-DRH-PMF</i>
NJ	2	11-05683	NAHAY v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20030-DRH-PMF</i>
NJ	2	11-05732	WELLER v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20031-DRH-PMF</i>
NJ	2	11-05733	VANHOOSER v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20032-DRH-PMF</i>
NJ	2	11-05734	EDWARDS v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20033-DRH-PMF</i>
NJ	2	11-05735	NEPOMUCENO et al v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20034-DRH-PMF</i>
NJ	2	11-05828	ELLIOTT et al v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20036-DRH-PMF</i>
NJ	2	11-05829	SAMANIEGO et al v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20035-DRH-PMF</i>
NJ	2	11-05830	BONHAM et al v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20037-DRH-PMF</i>
NJ	2	11-05831	MASELLI et al v. BAYER CORPORATION et al <i>12-20038-DRH-PMF</i>

Case 3:09-md-02100-DRH-PMF Document 2343 Filed 03/05/12 Page 3 of 3 Page ID  
#25704

NJ	2	11-05833	BARNES v. BAYER CORPORATION et al	12-20039-DRH-PMF
NJ	2	11-06396	RAMOS et al v. BAYER CORPORATION et al	12-20040-DRH-PMF

TEXAS SOUTHERN

TXS	4	12-00503	Ghods v. Bayer Schering Pharma AG et al	12-20041-DRH-PMF
-----	---	----------	---	------------------